The 18th November, 1982

No. 10/KNL/96/81/51306.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workmen and the management of M/s National Fertilizer Ltd., Panipat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947-the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication: -

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus for the year 1978-79? If so, with what details?

No. ID/FD/47/82/51438.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Forgings Private Limited, Plant 12/6,

Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matters hereafter appearing;
And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication; Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter (s) specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication :-

- Whether the closure of the factory from 18th June, 1980 was justified and in order? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?
- 2. Whether the workmen affected at the time of closure are entitled for re-employment as a result of restarting of factory? If so, with what details?

M. KUTTAPPAN,

Commissioner & Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments. The 4th November, 1982

No. ID/FD/145/82/49886.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Harakh Yadav and the management of M/s Hidustan Machines & Castings, Plot No. 36, Sector 27-A, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated the 7th February, 1958, rend with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :-

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Harakh Yadav was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/157/82/49893.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial disputes exists between the workman Shri Shiv Pujan Singh and the management of M/s Puja Interprises Pvt., Ltd., 14/5 Mathura Road. Faridabad regard ng the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—ide Government Notification No. 11495 G-Lab./57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with Notification No. 5414-3 Lab.-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Shiv Pujan Singh was justified and in order ? If not to what relief is he entitled?